



*The Auk* 126(4):915–921, 2009  
© The American Ornithologists' Union, 2009.  
Printed in USA.

## CONSTRUCTING CHECK-LISTS AND AVIFAUNA-WIDE REVIEWS: MEXICAN BIRD TAXONOMY REVISITED

A. TOWNSEND PETERSON<sup>1,3</sup> AND ADOLFO G. NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Natural History Museum and Biodiversity Research Center, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA; and

<sup>2</sup>Museo de Zoología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 70-399, México, D.F. 04510, Mexico

SUMMARIZING TAXONOMIC AND distributional information of regional avifaunas has been an important task of the American Ornithologists' Union (AOU) from early in its history up to the present day (AOU 1998). The North American *Check-list* is updated by yearly supplements (e.g., Banks et al. 2008), and taxonomic changes are incorporated on the basis of a self-described "conservative and cautious" system of consensus among committee members regarding recently published proposals of changes (AOU 1998). The newer South American *Check-list* follows similar procedures (Remsen et al. 2008). Because many communities depend on these regional taxonomies as baseline summaries for diverse applications (e.g., in conservation, biodiversity studies, and systematic studies), consideration of the system employed and its implications is paramount, particularly given the statement that the decisions are made following a "time-honored tradition," which—as we will argue—may not constitute the optimal strategy for 21st-century taxonomy. An alternative approach is that of regional "sweeps" and broad taxonomic reviews (e.g., Christidis and Boles 2008). This approach may, in the end, base decisions on less-complete information for establishing species limits, but it has the advantage of producing an internally consistent list.

In our ongoing efforts to understand and summarize the Mexican avifauna (Escalante-Pliego et al. 1993, Peterson and Navarro-Sigüenza 2000b), we have become aware of numerous species taxa that merit full recognition as species, under both the biological species concept and the evolutionary species concept (Navarro-Sigüenza et al. 1992, Peterson and Navarro-Sigüenza 2000a, Puebla-Olivares et al. 2008). This realization led to the development of a new, country-wide taxonomic treatment under the evolutionary species concept (Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson 2004), which was subsequently criticized as cursory and unscientific by Remsen (2005). Instead of miring the discourse in more opinion, the purpose of this commentary is to briefly review new evidence, published after our original analysis, to establish how

well that first-pass analysis fares in light of much more detailed evidence. We then reflect on how the process of assembling regional "avifaunas" might be optimized.

### REVIEW OF RECENT EVIDENCE REGARDING SPECIES LIMITS IN MEXICAN BIRDS

Our original taxonomic revision covered all Mexican birds and recommended emendations to species limits in 135 biological species (*sensu* AOU 1998), recognizing an additional 198 species taxa in the country. Here, we have reviewed literature published after 2003 (when we concluded our original studies) for treatment of any of the 135 complexes that we addressed (see appendix in Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson 2004) using molecular systematic approaches (note that we include one earlier publication [Kirchman et al. 2000] of which we had been unaware). We included only studies that sampled multiple populations of the taxon in question that span multiple evolutionary species from our recommendations; we excluded all species for which molecular evidence was published at the time of our previous analyses (Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson 2004). We evaluated phylogenetic trees or haplotype networks presented in each study to establish (1) whether our overall portrayal of species limits within the complex had been correct, (2) whether we had missed any distinct forms, and (3) whether each evolutionary species was indeed distinct from other such forms. The latter question was answered in terms of strong differentiation and reciprocal monophyly versus genetic differentiation, which could be incomplete and might not, as yet, include establishment of reciprocal monophyly.

We found recent studies treating 28 of the 135 biological species and 72 of the 323 evolutionary species treated in our previous summary (see Appendix). Our portrayal of species limits in 22 of these 28 complexes was completely correct. For the other six complexes, relationships were not as we had hypothesized—for

<sup>3</sup>E-mail: [town@ku.edu](mailto:town@ku.edu)

example, Kirchman et al. (2000) showed that northern populations of Cave Swallow (*Petrochelidon fulva*) are not a lineage distinct from Caribbean and Yucatan Peninsula populations, but rather that they are nestled within those populations phylogenetically, contrary to our hypothesis. On the other hand, and most dramatically, for Common Bush-Tanager (*Chlorospingus ophthalmicus*), our hypothesis of five differentiated forms in Mexico was confirmed fully by further in-depth analyses (Bonaccorso et al. 2008). In six cases, our proposed “splits” were not sufficient, and additional distinct lineages were revealed by detailed molecular study (e.g., Mexican Jay [*Aphelocoma ultramarina*]; e.g., McCormack et al. 2008). Eight of nine biological species complexes (treated in less detail in our original analysis; tables 1 and 2 in Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson 2004) that included splits outside Mexico or taxa for which we were unable to make conclusive recommendations were confirmed in subsequent analyses (Appendix).

At the level of individually proposed evolutionary species, of the 72 for which recent molecular evidence was available, 41 were confirmed by those studies as strongly differentiated and reciprocally monophyletic, as compared with related taxa—for example, Wagler’s Toucanet (*Aulacorhynchus wagleri*) of western Mexico was confirmed as differing by 3.68% in mitochondrial DNA sequence composition from the more broadly distributed Emerald Toucanet (*A. prasinus*), in addition to numerous phenotypic differences (Puebla-Olivares et al. 2008). More broadly, 52 of the 72 species were differentiated from related taxa, albeit not always with reciprocal monophyly (e.g., the Baja California Sur form of Acorn Woodpecker (*Melanerpes formicivorus*; Honey-Escandón et al. 2008). Of the 19 additional evolutionary species proposed in less detail in our original publication (tables 1 and 2 in Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson 2004), 17 were amply supported as distinct (e.g., Brown Schiffornis [*Schiffornis veraepacis*; Nyári 2007] and Ridgway’s Pygmy-Owl [*Glaucidium ridgwayi*; Proudfoot et al. 2006]).

#### IMPLICATIONS FOR ASSEMBLY OF MODERN REGIONAL BIRD TAXONOMIES

The idea of broad reviews and regional treatments, although originally very common (Ridgway 1880, Hellmayr 1925, Peters 1931), has certainly passed from its original grace. Indeed, our earlier analysis (Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson 2004) was criticized for its “lack of rigor . . . which recalls the heyday of the antiquated ‘Peters Checklist’ method” (Remsen 2005). More “modern” approaches depend on detailed analyses of molecular and phenotypic characters published on a case-by-case basis.

The conservative approach advocated by the AOU checklist committees, although less likely to result in incorrect decisions based on preliminary or incomplete evidence, has serious drawbacks. Species concepts themselves evolve (e.g., compare the treatments of Baltimore Oriole [*Icterus galbula*] in the 1983 and 1998 editions of the North American *Check-list*), and new species concepts are introduced (Zink and McKittrick 1995). Check-lists assembled by the “time-honored methods” (AOU 1998) respond only very slowly to these shifts, and—worse still—their response is uneven: for example, the Least Pygmy-Owl (*Glaucidium minutissimum*) complex has been split into three species in North America and several more in South America in recent years, thanks to

recent detailed analyses (Howell and Robbins 1995, Robbins and Howell 1995), yet related taxa (e.g., *Megascops* spp.) remain little examined and certainly undersplit. As such, inconsistency and uneven treatment come to permeate such check-lists.

We argue that the “antiquated” methods of taxonomic reviews and regional treatments are not as useless and outdated as has been suggested. Our admittedly preliminary and incomplete revision of Mexican bird taxonomy based on the evolutionary species concept was correct in 52 of 72 evolutionary species-level recommendations and 22 of 28 biological species-level recommendations, with only a few genuine failures (e.g., Cave Swallow, Red-winged Blackbird [*Agelaius phoeniceus*], and Margaret’s Hummingbird *Lampornis “margaritae”*), and produced a taxonomic arrangement that is much more consistent across taxa, and closer to the biological reality of bird diversity in the region, than any earlier check-list. Current national-scale efforts to prioritize biodiversity conservation in Mexico being developed by the Comisión Nacional para el Uso y Conocimiento de la Biodiversidad require such a consistent taxonomic base for rigorous science and decision making. Thus, we challenge our colleagues who are attempting to assemble such check-lists to take on the task at the broadest of levels—to produce region-wide reviews and consistent treatments of all taxa, regardless of the varying amounts of evidence available by which to judge. When the available information is truly minimal and insufficient to permit conclusions, a category of “uncertain status” should be allowed—authority lists can become more authoritative by acknowledging the gaps in their information.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank our many colleagues in the field of systematic ornithology for years of fruitful debate and discussion of these ideas. Financial support for this study was provided via a grant from the Secretaría del Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales and the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (SEMARNAT-CONACyT Sectorial Fund, C01-0265) for developing systematic studies of Mexican birds.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- AMERICAN ORNITHOLOGISTS’ UNION. 1998. Check-list of North American Birds, 7th ed. American Ornithologists’ Union, Washington, D.C.
- BABIN, M. J. 2005. Geographic variation and speciation in Rough-winged Swallows (Aves: Hirundinidae: *Stelgidopteryx*). M.S. thesis, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.
- BAKER, J. M., E. LÓPEZ-MEDRANO, A. G. NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA, O. R. ROJAS-SOTO, AND K. E. OMLAND. 2003. Recent speciation in the Orchard Oriole group: Divergence of *Icterus spurius spurius* and *Icterus spurius fuertesi*. *Auk* 120:848–859.
- BANKS, R. C., R. T. CHESSER, C. CICERO, J. L. DUNN, A. W. KRATTER, I. J. LOVETTE, P. C. RASMUSSEN, J. V. REMSEN, JR., J. D. RISING, D. F. STOTZ, AND K. WINKER. 2008. Forty-ninth supplement to the American Ornithologists’ Union *Check-list of North American Birds*. *Auk* 125:758–768.
- BARKER, F. K., A. J. VANDERGON, AND S. M. LANYON. 2008. Species status of the Red-shouldered Blackbird (*Agelaius assimilis*):

- Implications for ecological, morphological, and behavioral evolution in *Agelaius*. *Auk* 125:87–94.
- BELLEMAIN, E., E. BERMINGHAM, AND R. E. RICKLEFS. 2008. The dynamic evolutionary history of the Bananaquit (*Coereba flaveola*) in the Caribbean revealed by a multigene analysis. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 8:240.
- BONACCORSO, E., A. G. NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA, L. A. SÁNCHEZ-GONZÁLEZ, A. T. PETERSON, AND J. GARCÍA-MORENO. 2008. Genetic differentiation of the *Chlorospingus ophthalmicus* complex in Mexico and Central America. *Journal of Avian Biology* 39:311–321.
- CHRISTIDIS, L., AND W. E. BOLES. 2008. Systematics and taxonomy of Australian birds. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.
- CORTÉS-RODRÍGUEZ, N., B. E. HERNÁNDEZ-BAÑOS, A. G. NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA, AND K. E. OMLAND. 2008a. Geographic variation and genetic structure in the Streak-backed Oriole: Low mitochondrial DNA differentiation reveals recent divergence. *Condor* 110:729–739.
- CORTÉS-RODRÍGUEZ, N., B. E. HERNÁNDEZ-BAÑOS, A. G. NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA, A. T. PETERSON, AND J. GARCÍA-MORENO. 2008b. Phylogeography and population genetics of the Amethyst-throated Hummingbird (*Lampornis amethystinus*). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 48:1–11.
- DACOSTA, J. M., AND J. KLIČKA. 2008. The Great American Interchange in birds: A phylogenetic perspective with the genus *Trogon*. *Molecular Ecology* 17:1328–1343.
- DELANEY, K. S., S. ZAFAR, AND R. K. WAYNE. 2008. Genetic divergence and differentiation within the Western Scrub-Jay (*Aphelocoma californica*). *Auk* 125:839–849.
- DINGLE, C., I. J. LOVETTE, C. CANADAY, AND T. B. SMITH. 2006. Elevational zonation and the phylogenetic relationships of the *Henicorhina* wood-wrens. *Auk* 123:119–134.
- EBERHARD, J. R., AND E. BERMINGHAM. 2004. Phylogeny and biogeography of the *Amazona ochrocephala* (Aves: Psittacidae) complex. *Auk* 121:318–332.
- ESCALANTE-PLIEGO, P., A. G. NAVARRO SIGÜENZA, AND A. T. PETERSON. 1993. A geographic, historical, and ecological analysis of land bird diversity in Mexico. Pages 281–307 in *Biological Diversity of Mexico: Origins and Distribution* (T. P. Ramamoorthy, R. Bye, A. Lot, and J. Fa, Eds.). Oxford University Press, New York.
- GARCÍA-DERAS, G. M., N. CORTÉS-RODRÍGUEZ, M. HONEY, A. G. NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA, J. GARCÍA-MORENO, AND B. E. HERNÁNDEZ-BAÑOS. 2008. Phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Cyananthus* (Aves: Trochilidae), with emphasis on *C. doubledayi*. *Zootaxa* 1742:61–68.
- GARCÍA-TREJO, E., A. ESPINOSA DE LOS MONTEROS, M. C. ARIZMENDI, AND A. G. NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA. 2009. Molecular systematics of the Red-bellied and Golden-fronted woodpeckers. *Condor* 111: in press.
- HEBERT, P. D. N., M. Y. STOECKLE, T. S. ZEMLAK, AND C. M. FRANCIS. 2004. Identification of birds through DNA barcodes. *PLoS Biology* 2:1657–1663.
- HELLMAYR, C. E. 1925. Catalogue of birds of the Americas and the adjacent islands in Field Museum of Natural History. Field Museum of Natural History, Zoological Series 13, part 4:1–390.
- HONEY-ESCAÑÓN, M., B. E. HERNÁNDEZ-BAÑOS, A. G. NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA, H. BENÍTEZ-DÍAZ, AND A. T. PETERSON. 2008. Phylogeographic patterns of differentiation in the Acorn Woodpecker. *Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 120:478–493.
- HOWELL, S. N. G., AND M. B. ROBBINS. 1995. Species limits of the Least Pygmy-Owl (*Glaucidium minutissimum*) complex. *Wilson Bulletin* 107:7–25.
- HULL, J. M., B. N. STROBEL, C. W. BOAL, A. C. HULL, C. R. DYKSTRA, A. M. IRISH, A. M. FISH, AND H. B. ERNEST. 2008. Comparative phylogeography and population genetics within *Buteo lineatus* reveals evidence of distinct evolutionary lineages. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 49:988–996.
- JOSEPH, L., T. WILKE, E. BERMINGHAM, D. ALPERS, AND R. RICKLEFS. 2004. Towards a phylogenetic framework for the evolution of shakes, rattles, and rolls in *Myiarchus* tyrant-flycatchers (Aves: Passeriformes: Tyrannidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 31:139–152.
- KIRCHMAN, J. J., L. A. WHITTINGHAM, AND F. H. SHELDON. 2000. Relationships among Cave Swallow populations (*Petrochelidon fulva*) determined by comparisons of microsatellite and cytochrome *b* data. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 14:107–121.
- MCCORMACK, J. E., A. T. PETERSON, E. BONACCORSO, AND T. B. SMITH. 2008. Speciation in the highlands of Mexico: Genetic and phenotypic divergence in the Mexican Jay (*Aphelocoma ultramarina*). *Molecular Ecology* 17:2505–2521.
- MILÁ, B., J. E. MCCORMACK, G. CASTAÑEDA, R. K. WAYNE, AND T. B. SMITH. 2007a. Recent postglacial range expansion drives the rapid diversification of a songbird lineage in the genus *Junco*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B* 274:2653–2660.
- MILÁ, B., T. B. SMITH, AND R. K. WAYNE. 2006. Postglacial population expansion drives the evolution of long-distance migration in a songbird. *Evolution* 60:2403–2409.
- MILÁ, B., T. B. SMITH, AND R. K. WAYNE. 2007b. Speciation and rapid phenotypic differentiation in the Yellow-rumped Warbler *Dendroica coronata* complex. *Molecular Ecology* 16:159–173.
- MILLER, M. J., E. BERMINGHAM, J. KLIČKA, P. ESCALANTE, F. S. RAPOSO DO AMARAL, J. T. WEIR, AND K. WINKER. 2008. Out of Amazonia again and again: Episodic crossing of the Andes promotes diversification in a lowland forest flycatcher. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B* 275:1133–1142.
- NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA, A. G., AND A. T. PETERSON. 2004. An alternative species taxonomy of the birds of Mexico. *Biota Neotropica* 4. [Online.] Available at [www.biotaneotropica.org.br/v4n2/pt/fullpaper?bn03504022004+en](http://www.biotaneotropica.org.br/v4n2/pt/fullpaper?bn03504022004+en).
- NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA, A. G., A. T. PETERSON, B. P. ESCALANTE P., AND H. BENÍTEZ D. 1992. *Cypseloides storeri*, a new species of swift from Mexico. *Wilson Bulletin* 104:55–64.
- NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA, A. G., A. T. PETERSON, Á. [S.] NYÁRI, G. M. GARCÍA-DERAS, AND J. GARCÍA-MORENO. 2008. Phylogeography of the *Buarremon* brush-finch complex (Aves, Emberizidae) in Mesoamerica. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 47:21–35.
- NYÁRI, Á. S. 2007. Phylogeographic patterns, molecular and vocal differentiation, and species limits in *Schiffornis turdina* (Aves). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 44:154–164.

- PÉREZ-EMÁN, J. L. 2005. Molecular phylogenetics and biogeography of the Neotropical redstarts (*Myioborus*; Aves, Parulinae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 37:511–528.
- PETERS, J. L. 1931. Check-list of Birds of the World, vol. 1. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- PETERSON, A. T., AND A. G. NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA. 2000a. A new taxon in the *Amazilia viridifrons* (Chordata: Aves) complex of southern Mexico. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 113:864–870.
- PETERSON, A. T., AND A. G. NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA. 2000b. Western Mexico: A significant centre of avian endemism and challenge for conservation action. *Cotinga* 14:42–46.
- PROUDFOOT, G. A., R. L. HONEYCUTT, AND R. D. SLACK. 2006. Mitochondrial DNA variation and phylogeography of the Ferruginous Pygmy-Owl (*Glaucidium brasilianum*). *Conservation Genetics* 7:1–12.
- PUEBLA-OLIVARES, F., E. BONACCORSO, A. ESPINOSA DE LOS MONTEROS, K. E. OMLAND, J. E. LLORENTE-BOUSQUETS, A. T. PETERSON, AND A. G. NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA. 2008. Speciation in the Emerald Toucanet (*Aulacorhynchus prasinus*) complex. *Auk* 125:39–50.
- REMSEN, J. V., JR. 2005. Pattern, process, and rigor meet classification. *Auk* 122:403–413.
- REMSEN, J. V., JR., C. D. CADENA, A. JARAMILLO, M. NORES, J. F. PACHECO, M. B. ROBBINS, T. S. SCHULENBERG, F. G. STILES, D. F. STOTZ, AND K. J. ZIMMER. 2008. A classification of the bird species of South America. [Online.] American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C. Available at [www.museum.lsu.edu/~Remsen/SACCBaseline.html](http://www.museum.lsu.edu/~Remsen/SACCBaseline.html).
- RIDGWAY, R. 1880. A catalogue of the birds of North America. *Proceedings of the U.S. National Museum* 3:163–246.
- ROBBINS, M. B., AND S. N. G. HOWELL. 1995. A new species of Pygmy-Owl (Strigidae: *Glaucidium*) from the eastern Andes. *Wilson Bulletin* 107:1–6.
- ROJAS-SOTO, O. R., A. ESPINOSA DE LOS MONTEROS, AND R. M. ZINK. 2007. Phylogeography and patterns of differentiation in the Curve-billed Thrasher. *Condor* 109:456–463.
- SCRIBNER, K. T., S. L. TALBOT, J. M. PEARCE, B. J. PIERSON, K. S. BOLLINGER, AND D. V. DERKSEN. 2003. Phylogeography of Canada Geese (*Branta canadensis*) in western North America. *Auk* 120:889–907.
- VÁZQUEZ-MIRANDA, H., A. G. NAVARRO-SIGÜENZA, AND K. E. OMLAND. 2009. Phylogeography of the Rufous-naped Wren (*Campylorhynchus rufinucha*): Speciation and hybridization in Mesoamerica. *Auk* 126:000–000.
- ZINK, R. M., AND M. C. MCKITRICK. 1995. The debate over species concepts and its implications for ornithology. *Auk* 112:701–719.
- ZINK, R. M., J. D. RISING, S. MOCKFORD, A. G. HORN, J. M. WRIGHT, M. LEONARD, AND M. C. WESTBERG. 2005. Mitochondrial DNA variation, species limits, and rapid evolution of plumage coloration and size in the Savannah Sparrow. *Condor* 107:21–28.

Received 26 January 2009, accepted 7 April 2009

Associate Editor: R. M. Zink



APPENDIX. Summary of studies that have used molecular characters to treat biological species complexes included in a recent revision of species limits in Mexican birds (Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson 2004). "Complex right" indicates that the entire biological species complex was treated in a way consistent with the molecular results. "Missed a species" indicates that a differentiated form was not detected in the phenotypic studies. "Species distinct" indicates that the particular evolutionary species form was reciprocally monophyletic with respect to other such groups. "Species at least differentiated" indicates that the evolutionary species was differentiated, although perhaps not dramatically, or perhaps without reciprocal monophyly. Abbreviations: mtDNA = mitochondrial DNA, microsat = microsatellites, AFLPs = amplified fragment length polymorphisms, nucDNA = nuclear DNA, 1 = correct, 0 = incorrect, and + = partially correct (see notes in far right column).

Species group See appendix in Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson (2004):	Proposed species (Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson 2004)	Complex right	Missed a species	Species distinct	Species at least differentiated	Genes	References and notes
<i>Agelaius phoeniceus</i>	<i>gubernator</i> <i>phoeniceus</i> <i>graysoni</i>	0	0	0	0	mtDNA mtDNA mtDNA	Form <i>gubernator</i> not differentiated (Barker et al. 2008)
<i>Amazilia rutila</i>		+	1	1	1		Missed the differentiated population of the Yucatan peninsula (B. E. Hernández et al. unpubl. data)
<i>A. viridifrons</i>	<i>rutila</i> <i>villadai</i> <i>viridifrons</i>	1	0	0	1	mtDNA	G. García-Deras et al. unpubl. data
<i>Amazona oratrix</i>	<i>wagneri</i> <i>oratrix</i> <i>tresmariae</i>	1	0	0	1	mtDNA	Eberhard and Bermingham 2004
<i>Aphelocoma californica</i>	<i>californica</i> <i>sumichrasti</i> <i>woodhouseii</i> <i>potosina</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	Delaney et al. 2008
<i>A. ultramarina</i>	<i>ultramarina</i> <i>wollweberi</i> <i>prasinus</i> <i>wagleri</i> <i>canadensis</i>	+	1	1	1	mtDNA	Missed a differentiated form in the southern Sierra Madre Oriental (McCormack et al. 2008)
<i>Aulacorhynchus prasinus</i>	<i>ultramarina</i> <i>wollweberi</i> <i>prasinus</i> <i>wagleri</i> <i>canadensis</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	Puebla-Olivares et al. 2008
<i>Branta canadensis</i>	<i>leucopareia</i> <i>minima</i> <i>elegans</i>	+	0	1	1	mtDNA	Forms <i>leucopareia</i> and <i>minima</i> not differentiated from <i>hutchinsii</i> (Scribner et al. 2003)
<i>Buteo lineatus</i>	<i>lineatus</i> <i>curvipennis</i> <i>pampa</i> <i>capistratus</i> <i>humilis</i> <i>rufinucha</i> <i>albifrons</i> <i>dwighti</i> <i>ophthalmicus</i> <i>postocularis</i> <i>wetmorei</i>	1	0	0	1	mtDNA, microsat	Hull et al. 2008
<i>Campylopterus curvipennis</i>	<i>lineatus</i> <i>curvipennis</i> <i>pampa</i> <i>capistratus</i> <i>humilis</i> <i>rufinucha</i> <i>albifrons</i> <i>dwighti</i> <i>ophthalmicus</i> <i>postocularis</i> <i>wetmorei</i>	1	0	0	1	mtDNA	I. Sarmiento et al. unpubl. data
<i>Campylorhynchus rufinucha</i>	<i>lineatus</i> <i>curvipennis</i> <i>pampa</i> <i>capistratus</i> <i>humilis</i> <i>rufinucha</i> <i>albifrons</i> <i>dwighti</i> <i>ophthalmicus</i> <i>postocularis</i> <i>wetmorei</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	Vázquez-Miranda et al. 2009
<i>Chlorospingus ophthalmicus</i>	<i>lineatus</i> <i>curvipennis</i> <i>pampa</i> <i>capistratus</i> <i>humilis</i> <i>rufinucha</i> <i>albifrons</i> <i>dwighti</i> <i>ophthalmicus</i> <i>postocularis</i> <i>wetmorei</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	Bonaccorso et al. 2008

(continued)

## APPENDIX. Continued.

Species group See appendix in Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson (2004):	Proposed species (Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson 2004)	Complex right	Missed a species	Species distinct	Species at least differentiated	Genes	References and notes
<i>Coereba flaveola</i>	<i>caboti</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA, nucDNA	Bellemain et al. 2008
<i>Cyananthus latirostris</i>	<i>mexicana</i>			1	1		
	<i>doubledayi</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	García-Deras et al. 2008
	<i>latirostris</i>			1	1		
<i>Dendroica coronata</i>	<i>auduboni</i>	+	1	+	+	mtDNA	Missed form <i>nigrifrons</i> as differentiated; <i>coronata</i> and <i>auduboni</i> not differentiated (Milá et al. 2007b)
	<i>coronata</i>			+	+		
	<i>goldmani</i>			1	1		
<i>Icterus pustulatus</i>	<i>graysonii</i>	0	0	1	1	mtDNA	Forms <i>pustulatus</i> and <i>sclateri</i> not differentiated (Cortés-Rodríguez et al. 2008a)
	<i>pustulatus</i>			0	+		
	<i>sclateri</i>			0	+		
<i>I. spurius</i>	<i>fuertesii</i>	1	0	0	1	mtDNA	Baker et al. 2003
	<i>spurius</i>			0	1		
<i>Junco hyemalis</i>	<i>caniceps</i>	0	0	0	0	mtDNA, AFLPs	No differentiation among forms (Milá et al. 2007a)
	<i>hyemalis</i>			0	0		
	<i>oreganus</i>			0	0		
<i>J. phaeonotus</i>	<i>alticola</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	Milá et al. 2006
	<i>phaeonotus</i>			1	1		
<i>Lampornis amethystinus</i>	<i>amethystinus</i>	0	0	+	+	mtDNA	Form <i>margaritae</i> not different from <i>amethystinus</i> (Cortés-Rodríguez et al. 2008b)
	<i>margaritae</i>			+	+		
	<i>salvini</i>			1	1		
<i>Melanerpes aurifrons</i>	<i>aurifrons</i>	+	0	1	1	mtDNA	Forms <i>grateloupensis</i> and <i>polygrammus</i> not differentiated from <i>santacruzii</i> (García-Trejo et al. 2009)
	<i>grateloupensis</i>			+	+		
	<i>polygrammus</i>			+	+		
	<i>santacruzii</i>			+	+		
<i>M. formicivorus</i>	<i>angustifrons</i>	+	1	0	1	mtDNA	Forms <i>bairdi</i> and <i>formicivorus</i> not differentiated (Honey-Escandón et al. 2008)
				0	1		
<i>Momotus momota</i>	<i>coeruliceps</i>	1	0	0	1	mtDNA	C. Witt et al. unpubl. data
	<i>lessonii</i>			1	1		
<i>Passerculus sandwichensis</i>	<i>beldingi</i>	0	0	+	+	mtDNA	Forms <i>rostratus</i> and <i>beldingi</i> not differentiated (Zink et al. 2005)
	<i>rostratus</i>			+	+		
	<i>sandwichensis</i>			1	1		
<i>Petrochelidon fulva</i>	<i>fulva</i>	0	0	0	0	mtDNA, microsat	Forms <i>pelodoma</i> and <i>fulva</i> not differentiated (Kirchman et al. 2000)
	<i>pelodoma</i>			0	0		

(continued)

## APPENDIX. Continued.

Species group See appendix in Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson (2004):	Proposed species (Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson 2004)	Complex right	Missed a species	Species distinct	Species at least differentiated	Genes	References and notes
<i>Stelgidopteryx serripennis</i>	<i>ridgwayi</i> <i>serripennis</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	Babin 2005
<i>Toxostoma curvirostre</i>	<i>curvirostre</i>	+	1	1	1	mtDNA	Missed the differentiated population in Oaxaca (Rojas-Soto et al. 2007)
See appendix and table 1 in Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson (2004):	<i>palmeri</i>			1	1		
<i>Buarremon brunneinucha</i>	<i>apertus</i>	1	1	1	1	mtDNA	Missed differentiated population in Guerrero (Navarro-Sigüenza et al. 2008)
See table 1 in Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson (2004):	<i>brunneinucha</i> <i>macrourus</i> sp. nov.			+	+		
<i>Cistothorus palustris</i>	<i>paludicola</i> <i>palustris</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	Hebert et al. 2004
<i>Myioborus miniatus</i>	<i>intermedius</i> <i>miniatus</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	Pérez-Emán 2005
See table 2 in Navarro-Sigüenza and Peterson (2004):				1	1		
<i>Glaucidium brasilianum</i>	<i>brasilianum</i> <i>ridgwayi</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	Proudfoot et al. 2006
<i>Henicorhina leucosticta</i>	<i>leucosticta</i> <i>mexicana</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	Dingle et al. 2006
<i>Mionectes oleagineus</i>	<i>assimilis</i> <i>oleagineus</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	Miller et al. 2008
<i>Myiarchus tyrannulus</i>	<i>magister</i> <i>tyrannulus</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	Joseph et al. 2004
<i>Schiffornis turdinus</i>	<i>turdinus</i> <i>veraepacis</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	Nyári 2007
<i>Trogon collaris</i>	<i>collaris</i> <i>puella</i>	1	0	1	1	mtDNA	DaCosta and Klicka 2008